

# arXivEdits: Understanding the Human Revision Process in Scientific Writing

Chao Jiang, Wei Xu, and Samuel Stevens



# Revision in Scientific Writing

Two adjacent versions of the same paper on arXiv (an open-access E-print service)

arXiv > cs > arXiv:1706.03762 Search...  
Help | Adv

**Computer Science > Computation and Language**

*[Submitted on 12 Jun 2017 (v1), last revised 6 Dec 2017 (this version, v5)]*

## Attention Is All You Need

Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, Illia Polosukhin

The dominant sequence transduction models are based on complex recurrent or convolutional neural networks in an encoder–decoder configuration. The best performing models also connect the encoder and decoder through an attention mechanism. We propose a new simple network architecture, the Transformer, based solely on attention mechanisms, dispensing with recurrence and convolutions entirely. Experiments on two machine translation tasks show these models to be superior in quality while being more parallelizable and requiring significantly less time to train. Our model achieves 28.4 BLEU on the WMT 2014 English–to–German translation task, improving over the existing best results, including ensembles by over 2 BLEU. On the WMT 2014 English–to–French translation task, our model establishes a new single–model state–of–the–art BLEU score of 41.8 after training for 3.5 days on eight GPUs, a small fraction of the training costs of the best models from the literature. We show that the Transformer generalizes well to other tasks by applying it successfully to English constituency parsing both with large and limited training data.

Comments: 15 pages, 5 figures  
Subjects: **Computation and Language (cs.CL)**; Machine Learning (cs.LG)  
Cite as: [arXiv:1706.03762 \[cs.CL\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762)  
(or [arXiv:1706.03762v5 \[cs.CL\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762v5) for this version)  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1706.03762> ⓘ

### Submission history

From: Ashish Vaswani [[view email](#)]

[\[v1\]](#) Mon, 12 Jun 2017 17:57:34 UTC (1,102 KB)  
[\[v2\]](#) Mon, 19 Jun 2017 16:49:45 UTC (1,125 KB)  
[\[v3\]](#) Tue, 20 Jun 2017 05:20:02 UTC (1,125 KB)  
[\[v4\]](#) Fri, 30 Jun 2017 17:29:30 UTC (1,124 KB)  
[\[v5\]](#) Wed, 6 Dec 2017 03:30:32 UTC (1,124 KB)

### Submission history

From: Ashish Vaswani [[view email](#)]

[\[v1\]](#) Mon, 12 Jun 2017 17:57:34 UTC (1,102 KB)  
[\[v2\]](#) Mon, 19 Jun 2017 16:49:45 UTC (1,125 KB)  
[\[v3\]](#) Tue, 20 Jun 2017 05:20:02 UTC (1,125 KB)  
[\[v4\]](#) Fri, 30 Jun 2017 17:29:30 UTC (1,124 KB)  
[\[v5\]](#) Wed, 6 Dec 2017 03:30:32 UTC (1,124 KB)

# Study Revision is **Useful**

- Valuable Knowledge is Encoded.
  - Document-level: logical and structural improvement.
  - Sentence-level: stylistic and grammatical refinement.
- Support Important Applications
  - Writing quality assessment and grammar error correction ([Bryant et al., 2019](#)).
  - AI-assist writing ([Sun et al., 2021](#), [Du et al., 2022](#), [Huang et al., 2022](#)).
  - Text simplification and compression ([Xu et al., 2015](#), [Maddela., 2021](#)).
  - Stale transfer and paraphrase generation ([Xu et al., 2012](#), [Dou et al., 2022](#)).
  - And many more ...



# Our Work

A complete computational framework to study text revision in the scientific writing domain.

## arXivEdits

- Sentence alignment for 751 paper groups across 6 research areas in 23 years. **Full multi-page papers!**
- Fine-grained sentence level edits with intentions for 1,000 sentence pairs. **New intention taxonomy!**

## System

- Automatic sentence alignment. **Precision: 96.9; F1 93.8**
- Span-level edit extraction. **More explainable!**
- Edit intention classification.


## Data-driven Analysis

*What common strategies are used by authors to improve the writing of their papers?*






# Edit Intention Taxonomy for Scientific Writing



Intention	Definition	%
<b>Improve Language</b>		28.6%
More Accurate/specific	Minor adjustment to improve the accuracy or specificness of the description.	11.5%
Improve Style	Make the text sound more professional or coherent without altering the meaning.	8.7%
Simplify	Simplify complex concepts or delete redundant content to improve readability.	7.6%
Other	Other language improvements that don't fall into the above categories.	0.8%
<b>Correct Grammer/Typo</b>	Fix grammatical errors, correct typos, or smooth out grammar needed by other changes.	25.4%
<b>Update Content</b>	Update large amount of scientific content, add or delete major fact.	28.8%
<b>Adjust Format</b>	Adjust table, figure, equation, reference, citation, and punctuation etc.	17.2%

# Edit Intention Taxonomy for Scientific Writing



**If run over the entire arXiv, can extract > 7M language-related edits at 65% accuracy.**

Intention	Definition	%
<b>Improve Language</b>		28.6%
More Accurate/specific	Minor adjustment to improve the accuracy or specificness of the description.	11.5%
Improve Style	Make the text sound more professional or coherent without altering the meaning.	8.7%
Simplify	Simplify complex concepts or delete redundant content to improve readability.	7.6%
Other	Other language improvements that don't fall into the above categories.	0.8%
<b>Correct Grammer/Typo</b>	Fix grammatical errors, correct typos, or smooth out grammar needed by other changes.	25.4%
<b>Update Content</b>	Update large amount of scientific content, add or delete major fact.	28.8%
<b>Adjust Format</b>	Adjust table, figure, equation, reference, citation, and punctuation etc.	17.2%



# Our Work

A complete computational framework to study text revision in the scientific writing domain.

## arXivEdits

- Sentence alignment for 751 paper groups across 6 research areas in 23 years. **Full multi-page papers!**
- Fine-grained sentence level edits with intentions for 1,000 sentence pairs. **New intention taxonomy!**

## System

- Automatic sentence alignment. **Precision: 96.9; F1 93.8**
- Span-level edit extraction. **More explainable!**
- Edit intention classification.

## Data-driven Analysis

*What common strategies are used by authors to improve the writing of their papers?*

# Automatic Span-level Edit Extraction



- Formulated as a span alignment task.
- Our methods
  - semi-CRF word alignment model **Ours at ACL 2021!**
  - QA-Align ([Nagata et al., 2020](#))
  - Simple heuristics (see our paper for a parsing-based heuristics)
- Baseline: Latexdiff used by [Du et al., 2021](#).

	Perf. (P/ R /F1)				% of Edit Types			Len. of Edits		
	P	R	F1	EM	Ins.	Del.	Sub.	Ins.	Del.	Sub.
<b>Semi-CRF Aligner</b>	87.5	87.7	87.6	80.5	32.9	26.7	40.4	4.66	4.98	2.21
<b>QA-Align</b>	87.7	88.4	88.0	82.0	33.2	24.0	42.9	4.46	4.62	2.08
<b>Latexdiff</b>	76.2	74.3	75.3	70.0	26.2	14.4	59.3	3.89	4.27	4.73

Please see our paper for experiments on automatic sentence alignment and intention classification!

Latexdiff treats everything as large chunk substitutions

# Example Outputs from Our System





# Our Work

A complete computational framework to study text revision in the scientific writing domain.

## arXivEdits

- Sentence alignment for 751 paper groups across 6 research areas in 23 years. **Full multi-page papers!**
- Fine-grained sentence level edits with intentions for 1,000 sentence pairs. **New intention taxonomy!**

## System

- Automatic sentence alignment. **Precision: 96.9; F1 93.8**
- Span-level edit extraction. **More explainable!**
- Edit intention classification.

## Data-driven Analysis


*What common strategies are used by authors to improve the writing of their papers?*

# How Much Content Has Been Updated?

Based on manually annotated sentence alignment.

$$\text{Update Ratio Between } V_i \text{ and } V_j = 1 - \frac{\# \text{ of kept sent.}}{\# \text{ of all sent. in } V_i}$$

Most papers w/ 2 versions undergo a mild revision.




3.7% of papers are almost completely re-written.

Distribution is more flat for papers w/ more versions.

(a) Update Ratio Between the First and Last Versions of Each Paper

# Update Ratio v.s. Area

Based on manually annotated sentence alignment.




- Researchers in Statistics make more significant revisions to their papers compared to the CS area.



# Where do Researchers Make the Edits?

Based on manually annotated sentence alignment.




- Researchers, in general, revise more sentences at the beginning of a paper, while the insertion and deletion of sentences occur more in the latter parts.

# Zoom in to the Revised Sentences — Why do Researchers Make the Span-level Edits?

Run our span-level edit extraction and intention classification modules on all the revised sentences between adjacent versions in 751 article groups.

Most of the language-related edits occur at the beginning of a paper.



The adjustments to format (punctuations, figures, tables, citations, etc.) span throughout the whole paper.

Please see our paper for more analysis!

# Summary

All techniques can be generalized to other domains!

We provide a complete computational framework to study text revision in scientific writing domain.



# Summary

All techniques can be generalized to other domains!

We provide a complete computational framework to study text revision in scientific writing domain.

## arXivEdits

- Sentence alignment for 751 paper groups across 6 research areas in 23 years. Full multi-page papers!
- Fine-grained sentence level edits with intentions for 1,000 sentence pairs. New intention taxonomy!

System: (1) sentence alignment; (2) span-level edit extraction; (3) edit intention classification.

## Data-driven Analysis

*What common strategies are used by authors to improve the writing of their papers?*

Code/data at <https://tiny.one/arxivedits>

Email me at [chaojiang@gatech.edu](mailto:chaojiang@gatech.edu)

Follow me on Twitter  Chao Jiang  
@chaojiang06 